

## The ECHR Climate Change Rulings and their Global Significance

**APCEL Webinar, 29 April 2024** 

Prof. Jacqueline Peel
Director, Melbourne Climate Futures
The University of Melbourne



## Revisiting 'A Rights Turn'

- In 2017, we identified 'a trend towards
  petitioners increasingly employing rights claims
  in climate change lawsuits, and a growing
  receptivity of courts to this framing'
- Are the ECHR rulings indicative of this 'turn'?
- J. Peel & H. Osofsky, 'A Rights Turn in Climate Change Litigation?' (2018) 7(1) *Transnational Environmental Law* 37-67



Climate litigation – global trends (Setzer & Higham, LSE, 2023 global snapshot)



Cases continue to grow but rate slowing



Climate litigation extending to new jurisdictions



2024 could be significant for international CCL



Strategic cases continue to rise with an increasing proportion against companies



Government 'framework' cases continue to grow in number with 70% taking a rights-based approach

## What's the relevance of the ECHR rulings beyond Europe?

- ECHR ruling in 'Swiss grandmothers' of greatest impact for government of Switzerland and 46 member states of the Council of Europe
- ECHR ruling finds violations as a result of inaction on climate change on the basis of a 'garden variety' human right protected under international law and in many domestic laws/constitutions
- ECHR ruling applies to a state, but the reasoning is readily applicable to the situation of (large) corporate emitters
- Court recognized climate change as creating compelling, present-day conditions, confirmed by scientific evidence, that could not be ignored



## What might be the global significance of the ECHR rulings?

- 1<sup>st</sup> 'international' climate ruling
- Establishes climate-rights linkage as an issue of global significance
- Likely to encourage/inspire more rights-based climate litigation
- Illustrates that this is not a straightforward avenue for climate litigation; like other avenues it faces limitations and challenges

